1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case No. 09-50026 In the Matter of: GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, Debtor. U.S. Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York, New York July 13, 2009 9:05 a.m. B E F O R E: HON. ROBERT E. GERBER U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

2 APPLICATION for an Order Pursuant to Sections 327(a) and 328(a) 1 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a) Authorizing 3 the Employment and Retention of Evercore Group L.L.C. as Investment Banker and Financial Advisor for the Debtors Nunc 4 Pro Tunc to the Petition Date 5 6 APPLICATION to Employ Butzel Long as Special Counsel Nunc Pro 7 Tunc to June 10, 2009-07-14 8 9 DEBTORS First Omnibus Motion Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 365 10 11 to Reject Certain Executory Contracts 12 MOTION to Reject Lease or Executory Contract re: Promotional 13 Services Agreement 14 15 16 MOTION of Debtors for Entry of Order Approving (I) Master 17 Disposition Agreement for Purchase of Certain Assets of Delphi Corporation, (II) Related Agreements, (III) Assumption and 18 19 Assignment of Executory Contracts, (IV) Agreement With Pension 2.0 Benefit Guaranty Corporation, and (V) Entry Into Alternative Transaction in Lieu Thereof 21 22 23 Transcribed By: Esther Accardi 24 25

	· ·	
		3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP	
3	Attorneys for Motors Liquidation Company,	
4	formerly known as General Motors Corporation	
5	767 Fifth Avenue	
6	New York, New York 10153	
7		
8	BY: STEPHEN KAROTKIN, ESQ.	
9	ROBERT LEMONS, ESQ.	
10		
11		
12	JENNER & BLOCK, LLP	
13	Attorneys for Motors Liquidation Company,	
14	formerly known as General Motors Corporation	
15	919 Third Avenue	
16	New York, New York 10022	
17		
18	BY: ELIZABETH A. EDMONDSON, ESQ.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
4
 1
      APPEARANCES: (continued)
 2
      SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
 3
            Attorneys for Delphi Corporation
 4
            Four Times Square
 5
            New York, New York 10036
 6
 7
      BY:
           KAYALYN A. MARAFIOTI, ESQ.
 8
            GREGORY W. FOX, ESQ.
 9
10
11
      KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL, LLP
12
            Attorneys for Creditors' Committee
13
            1177 Avenue of the Americas
            New York, New York 10036
14
15
16
      BY:
           ROBERT SCHMIDT, ESQ.
17
            GORDON Z. NOVOD, ESQ.
18
19
20
      VEDDER PRICE, P.C.
21
            Attorneys for Export Development Canada
22
            1633 Broadway
23
            New York, New York 10019
24
25
      BY:
            MICHAEL L. SCHEIN, ESQ.
```

```
5
 1
      APPEARANCES: (continued)
 2
      U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 3
      OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY
 4
           86 Chambers Street
           New York, New York 10007
 5
 6
 7
      BY: MATTHEW L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
            JOSEPH N. CORDARO, ESQ.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

6 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: Okay, GM. Mr. Karotkin, good morning. 3 MR. KAROTKIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Stephen 4 Karotkin, Weil Gotshal & Manges for Motors Liquidating Company, formerly known as General Motors Corporation. 5 Your Honor, the first thing on the agenda, I believe, 6 is a motion, an uncontested matter, I believe was filed by the 7 Jenner & Block, I don't know if they're on the phone or not. 8 THE COURT: Do we have --9 10 OPERATOR: There are no telephonic appearances. 11 THE COURT: Pardon? 12 OPERATOR: There are no telephonic appearances. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Come on up, please, Miss. MS. EDMONDSON: Elizabeth Edmondson of Jenner & Block. 14 THE COURT: Can I get your last name again please? 15 16 Can you come closer to the microphone, you're competing with the air conditioning system. 17 MS. EDMONDSON: Sure. Elizabeth Edmondson of Jenner & 18 19 Block. 2.0 THE COURT: Sure, Ms. Edmondson, go ahead. MS. EDMONDSON: And I'm here on behalf of Motors 2.1 Liquidation Company on a motion pursuant to Section 365 to 22 23 reject promotional services agreement between General Motors Corporation and Exhibitions Company. 24 25 The motion is uncontested. I'm happy to describe the

7 motion if you'd like, or I can just hand up the order. 1 2 THE COURT: No, with the case management order you 3 don't need to say anymore on an unopposed motion. So your 4 motion is granted. And I'd ask you to drop off the paperwork and floppy with Ms. Blum across the hall. 5 MS. EDMONDSON: 6 Okay. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Karotkin? MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir. The next motion is a 8 motion to reject certain various executory contracts. 9 An objection was filed on behalf of two entities. 10 11 Since that time that objection has been withdrawn. And two of 12 the contracts associated with that objection have been eliminated from the exhibit and the proposed order. 13 And with that, Your Honor, it's uncontested. 14 THE COURT: Again, it's granted, Mr. Karotkin. 15 16 MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir. And we have an order we'll give to your clerk. 17 THE COURT: Okay. What else do we have for 9 o'clock? 18 MR. KAROTKIN: I don't believe anything else. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I only came out with my 9 2.0 21 o'clock stuff. So we'll take a -- okay, wait. I think creditors' committee has something. 22 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, I think the application of 23 Butzel Long as committee co-counsel was on for 9. 24 25 THE COURT: Yes, it is. Any desire to talk on that,

8 Mr. Schmidt? 1 2 MR. SCHMIDT: Not on my part, Your Honor. I'm not 3 aware of any objections. Mr. Seidel is in Court to answer any 4 inquiries the Court has. THE COURT: Well, frankly, Mr. Seidel, I don't have 5 any questions. So that's also granted? 6 7 MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. We'll reconvene at 9:45. 8 (Recess from 9:07 a.m. until 9:47 a.m.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. GM, Motors Liquidation Corporation. 10 11 MR. LEMONS: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert Lemons from Weil Gotshal & Manges on behalf of Motors Liquidation 12 13 Company. I'm here this morning, Your Honor, on the motion that 14 was filed at docket number 2096 by Motors Liquidation Company 15 and its affiliated debtors, for entry of an order authorizing 16 and approving a variety of actions by Motors Liquidation 17 Company in connection with the sale of substantially all of the 18 19 operating assets of Delphi Corporation and its affiliates to an 2.0 entity that was -- two former subsidiaries of Motor Liquidation 21 Company that are now subs of General Motors Company and to Parnassus Holdings II LLC. 22 23 THE COURT: Right. Hold on for just a minute, Mr. 24 Lemons. 25 (Pause)

9 THE COURT: Go ahead, please, Mr. Lemons. 1 2 MR. LEMONS: Before I get started, Your Honor, I'd 3 like to just offer into evidence the two declarations that we filed in connection with this motion. 4 There was a declaration of Randall L. Papal (ph.) 5 which was filed at docket number 3052. And a declaration of 6 Rick Westenburg (ph.) which was filed at docket number 3053. 7 Both were business people employed by Motors Liquidation 8 Company prior to the sale on Friday, who were involved in the 9 negotiations of the transactions. They're both here in Court 10 11 today. THE COURT: Remember, Mr. Lemons, that under the case 12 management order, allegations in the motion and if there are 13 any accompanying declarations, are taken as true, so you don't 14 even need to go through that unless somebody puts those issues 15 16 into dispute. MR. LEMONS: I'm happy to stop. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. LEMONS: Your Honor, we submitted a revised order 19 2.0 to chambers over the weekend. I'd be happy to discuss any 21 questions you have with it, or about the transaction, or I can 22 stop now. THE COURT: I didn't get the revised order. I do have 23 a number of questions. Obviously, this is in the context of it 24

being unopposed. Maybe if the revised order had gotten to me I

25

would have been able to discern the answers.

2.0

Your motion was filed on June 20th -- excuse me, July 20th (sic) before the events of last week and my ruling from about ten days ago. How does your existing motion and the disposition of the assets to New GM affect this motion; how do they mesh together?

MR. LEMONS: Well, it's -- the affect of the sale has caused us to enter into an assignment agreement. It's an agreement between what I'll call Old GM, New GM, Delphi and Parnassus. Pursuant to which, all but a handful of the rights and obligations under the master disposition agreement and the other Delphi transaction agreements have been transferred from Old GM to New GM. The reason for that is that the GM subsidiaries that will be actually acquiring the bulk of those assets are now subsidiaries of New GM and also the funds to fund the transaction are with New GM and not with Old GM.

The affect of this assignment agreement is the only remaining liabilities of Old GM under the transaction documents are those that relate to a small number of contracts between Old GM and Delphi that will not be assigned to New GM upon the closing of the transaction. And the obligation of Old GM to undertake actions other than providing funds or assuming liabilities that will be necessary to allow New GM to close the transaction.

As part of that agreement, New GM has agreed to

2.0

reimburse Old Delphi for its costs and -- I'm sorry, Old GM for its costs in undertaking these actions. And Old GM is no longer going to be liable for any obligations to fund any amounts or assume any liabilities in connection with the closing of the transaction.

THE COURT: So this deal is neutral to the unsecureds in this case?

MR. LEMONS: Yes. Basically, the primary remaining obligations of Old GM will be to, one, waive administrative and pre-petition claims against Delphi. Two, terminate a number of commercial agreements and the master restructuring agreement between Old GM and Delphi on the closing of the MDA. And, three, make payments in the interim to Delphi that are due under the master restructuring agreement. But those payments New GM has agreed to reimburse Old GM for.

THE COURT: So the deal is, likewise, neutral to Motors Liquidation's liquidity needs?

MR. LEMONS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As of the time that you filed the motion there was still contemplated bidding in the Delphi case where Parnassus or Platinum amount bid in that auction in the Delphi case?

MR. LEMONS: Your Honor, I don't believe that any bids were submitted. But the DIP lenders of Delphi have until -- let me just confirm the date. I believe it's until July 16th

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Pg 12 of 20 12 to submit a pure credit bid for the assets. And if they do there can still be an auction on July 17th. THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. LEMONS: So that situation remains influx. There are also still a number of objections to the transaction that have been filed in the Delphi case. THE COURT: Is New GM's management comfortable that if anybody credit bids or outbids it will have a satisfactory source of supply? MR. LEMONS: That remains to be discussed, Your Honor, as part of the discussions going on among the various partiesin-interest to the transaction. It's, obviously, critical to New GM that the results at the end of the sort of Delphi sale process is such that New GM has secured its source of supply. But there's a lot of uncertainty right now as to who may or may not end up owning and running those assets if there were to be a credit bid. And that's something that New --THE COURT: Well, that's the whole point of the deal, isn't it, to ensure that there's a satisfactory source of supply? MR. LEMONS: Absolutely. But if there's a credit bid -- I mean, there's a possibility, Your Honor, there could

bid -- I mean, there's a possibility, Your Honor, there could be a credit bid that could top this existing bid, that Judge Drain could approve. And New GM wouldn't necessarily be able to veto that one way or the other. So it's very -- keeping a

2.0

very close eye on things and it's very involved in negotiations to try to ensure that the resolution is such that its supply will be secure.

THE COURT: Your point is that looking at it from a slightly parochial point of view that's not the problem of this estate.

MR. LEMONS: I wouldn't want to say anything that sounded flippant, but I think it is -- it's the problem of this estate only to the extent that this estate owns an interest in New GM. And, obviously the value of New GM would be -- would suffer if supply was not secured.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. LEMONS: So this estate will, Your Honor, I believe cooperate in a sale process to help New GM to obtain the secure supply. But it's New GM that will have to supply the funding and assume the liabilities and really negotiate that deal. Because it's the party injecting the real economics into it.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. Is the PBGC deal done?

MR. LEMONS: No. But it's anticipated that Old GM

will not be a party to that. So we have not sought any relief

with respect to that in the modified order.

THE COURT: Okay. I'd like to hear from the creditors' committee and the government.

MR. NOVOD: Good morning, Your Honor. Gordon Novod of

14 the law firm of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel. 1 2 THE COURT: Your last name, again, please. 3 MR. NOVOD: Sure. It's Gordon Novod, N-o-v-o-d. THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. NOVOD: ON behalf of the creditors' committee, and 5 from the law firm of Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel. 6 7 Your Honor, as the Court is certainly aware, Friday was a momentous day for, both Old GM and New GM, in that it was 8 the consummation date of a momentous transaction under which 9 10 the wind-down of this estate and Old GM was put in motion. And 11 one of the things which, Your Honor, I'm happy to report, is 12 that this transaction that we stand here today, before the 13 Court, we have been attentive and focused on issues particular to unsecured creditors. And attentive to issues to ensure the 14 wind-down of the estate and the liquidity sources which Your 15 16 Honor has noted before are maintained and preserved. And in doing so --17 THE COURT: Pause, please, Mr. Novod. 18 MR. NOVOD: Of course. 19 THE COURT: After the creditors' committee's dialogue 2.0 2.1 and its due diligence are you satisfied that the answers that 22 the debtors gave me that it was neutral to your constituency were accurate? 23 MR. NOVOD: Yes, they are, Your Honor. We've worked 24 25 hard to that effect, and we've spent quite a bit of time not to

2.0

minimize the time spent over the past few years by the company, Old GM, and Delphi and other creditor constituents in those bankruptcy cases. We've spent quite a bit of time focusing on this last week, Your Honor, to ensure that Old GM will be protected. And that, in essence, the status quo is preserved here. And that Old GM is not assuming any liability. It will continue to perform. It will be reimbursed to the extent it is asked to do anything which costs any money out-of-pocket for Old GM. And to allow this transaction with Delphi to move forward.

THE COURT: Okay, continue, please, Mr. Novod.

MR. NOVOD: Yes. So just to highlight, Your Honor, for a few things. One, we've focused on ensuring that the obligations and liabilities of GM were effectively transferred from Old GM to New GM. As Your Honor is certainly aware this estate -- the Old GM estate, will not have the necessary cash if it were required to complete this transaction, which made the agreement of an assignment agreement a necessity here.

In addition to that, there are certain provisions under the master purchase agreement, which Your Honor approved, I believe, on the 5th of July, which will help effectuate this transaction. So upon closing this transaction we are satisfied that, in essence, the status quo will be preserved. But when the Delphi transaction does close that liability and obligation to perform under the Delphi transaction will be transferred to

16 the New GM subject, of course, to the exceptions that Mr. 1 2 Lemons mentioned on the record a few minutes ago. 3 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 4 MR. NOVOD: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'll hear from the U.S. Government and 5 Export Development Canada, if either wants to speak. 6 MR. SCHWARTZ: Matthew Schwartz for the United States. 7 THE COURT: Come to a microphone, please, Mr. 8 Schwartz. 9 MR. SCHWARTZ: Matthew Schwartz for the United States. 10 11 I really don't have anything to add, Your Honor. Treasury and 12 the Auto Task Force have worked with the parties-in-interest in the Delphi case to move towards these underlying transactions. 13 We support them fully. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Schein, good morning. 15 MR. SCHEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael 16 Schein, Vedder Price, on behalf of Export Development Canada. 17 Consistent with the U.S. Government we also support 18 19 this transaction. I have nothing to add at this time. 2.0 THE COURT: Okay. All right, then --MR. LEMONS: Your Honor, if I may? 2.1 THE COURT: Mr. Lemons. 22 MR. LEMONS: Just very briefly. We committed to 23 Delphi that we agreed one sentence on the record for them. 24 25 They just asked us to read the following: "Delphi Corporation

17 reserves all rights respecting Old GM's assumption and 1 2 assignment of the contracts to be assumed and assigned upon the 3 closing of the master disposition agreement. Including but not limited to the right to object to any cure amounts and the 4 right to object to the transfer of any contract other than in 5 its entirety." 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LEMONS: Thank you. 8 THE COURT: All right. Anything else, anybody? All 9 right. Given the lack of opposition I don't need to make 10 11 extensive findings here. The transaction's approved. Debtors 12 have satisfied me that it's an appropriate exercise of business judgment and that it's neutral to the parties-in-interest in 13 this case. So you can submit the revised order and floppy. 14 Better check, Mr. Lemons, because if I'm not aware of 15 it, that suggests that it somehow didn't get to my chambers. 16 And unless we had a chambers breakdown, which, of 17 course, is possible. But I don't know. 18 19 So when we're finished today just stop by in chambers 2.0 and we'll get it done. 21 What else do we have today. MR. LEMONS: I believe that's all for the agenda. 22 THE COURT: Everything for the 9:45? I thought I had 23 more, just a second, please. 24 25 No, I guess we took care of the rest at 9 o'clock.

```
18
                Okay, we're adjourned.
 1
 2
            (Proceedings concluded at 10:01 a.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

i	Fg 19 01 20		i
			19
1			
2	INDEX		
3	RULINGS		
4		PAGE	
5	Motion To Reject Lease Or Executory Contract	7	
6	Re: Promotional Services Agreement		
7			
8	Motion Pursuant to Reject Certain	7	
9	Executory Contracts		
10			
11	Application To Employ Butzel Long As	8	
12	Special Counsel to Creditors' Committee		
13			
14	Motion For Order Authorizing And Approving	17	
15	A Variety Of Actions By Motors Liquidation		
16	Company In Connection With The Sale Of		
17	Substantially All Of The Operating Assets		
18	Of Delphi Corporation And Its Affiliates		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
20
 1
                           CERTIFICATION
 2
 3
        I, Esther Accardi, certify that the foregoing transcript is a
 4
 5
        true and accurate record of the proceedings.
 6
 7
        ESTHER ACCARDI (CET**D-485)
        AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber
 8
 9
        Veritext LLC
10
        200 Old Country Road
11
        Suite 580
12
        Mineola, New York 11501
13
14
        Date: July 14, 2009
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```